

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,671	07/30/2003	Hee Bok Kang	40296-0005	40296-0005 6501	
26633	26633 7590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER		
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP			NGUYEN, VAN THU T		
	1717 RHODE ISLAND AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2824	•	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/629,671	KANG, HEE BOK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		VanThu Nguyen	2824			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addréss			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 results (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 De</u>	ecember 2004.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-9,13,18 and 20-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-9,18 and 20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is made for Amendment filed on February 28, 2005.

2. Claims 6-9, 13, 18, 20-22 are pending.

3. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-17, 19 are cancelled.

4. Claims 20-22 are newly added.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection with new cited reference Kasai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,600,674).
- 6. Claims 15-16 are previously allowed in Office Action filed December 1, 2004, however, Applicant has cancelled these claims in Amendment filed on February 28, 2005.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 21-22 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claims 21-22 do not further limit the claimed memory device in claims 6-7, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2824

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Boler et al. further in view of Kasai (U.S. Patent No. 6,775,172)

Regarding claim 13, Wang discloses, in FIG. 3, a memory device comprising:

a switch array (32, 34,42) comprising an inherent plurality of first switches (42) for connecting a lower byte region of data lines (16a2 or 16b2) to a lower byte region of a memory array (via 24b), an inherent plurality of second switches (34) for connecting the lower byte region of the data lines (via 16a2 or 16b2) to an upper byte region of the memory array (via 24a), and a plurality of inherent third switches (32) for connecting an upper byte region of a data lines (16a1 or 16b1) to the upper byte region of the memory array (via 24a);

and a switch controller (38, 40, 46, 48) for receiving external control signals (16/8, MSB Add) to control activation of the data lines and on/off operations of the first through the third switches.

(See column 3, line 37 to column 5 line 47).

However, Wang does not disclose that the switches are connected through sense amplifiers and I/O buffers; and the memory device being a ferroelectric memory device having a bitline structure comprising a main bitline and a plurality of sub bitlines.

Boler et al. disclose, in FIG. 1A, each bit outputted from the memory array via a sense amplifier (24), and output to a data line via a data I/O buffer (26).

Kasai discloses, in FIG. 7, a ferroelectric memory device having a bitline structure comprising a main bitline (GBL0, GBL1) and a plurality of sub bitlines (BLA0, BLA1 ...) (see column 15, line 35 to column 16, line 43)

Application/Control Number: 10/629,671

Art Unit: 2824

Since Wang, Boler et al., and Kasai are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Boler et al. and Kasai would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Wang.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to realize that sense amplifiers and data I/O buffers are essential components in a memory device (motivation to combine Wang and Boler et al.); and that the method disclosed in Wang can be applied to all types of memory, and the ferroelectric memory device disclosed in Kasai is not an exceptional of use (motivation to combine Wang and Kasai)

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 6-9, 18, 20 are allowed with allowable subject matters indicated in prior Office Action, dated December 01, 2004.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 2824

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VanThu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 4, 2005

VanThu Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2824

- ahulgn